

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

MISC. CIVIL APPLN.(CONTEMPT PETITION) No 484 of 1991

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT and Sd/-
MR.JUSTICE R.BALIA. Sd/-

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?
Nos. 1 to 5 No.

MEHTA INTERNATIONAL LTD

Versus

PG RAMRAKHIANI, CHAIRMAN

Appearance:

No one appears for the parties.

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT and
MR.JUSTICE R.BALIA.

Date of decision: 08/10/97

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Although this matter has been on the final hearing Board since 22.9.1997, none of the counsel appeared.

We have accordingly considered the points in issue and the controversy in the present application. Firstly, we find that the contempt is alleged against the respondents which arises from the ad-interim injunction passed by the Trial Court.

Looking to the serious dispute on questions of fact raised in the present petition we are of the opinion that this Court in exercise of its contempt jurisdiction would not ordinarily deal with such disputed questions of fact, which can be dealt with by the Trial Court in proceedings under Order 39 Rule 2A of the Civil Procedure Code. In the premises aforesaid Rule is discharged with no order as to costs.

m.m.bhatt